arXiv:1412.8215v1 [math.CO] 28 Dec 2014

Graph functions maximized on a path

Celso Marques da Silva Jr*† and Vladimir Nikiforov‡

December 30, 2014

Abstract

Given a connected graph G of order n and a nonnegative symmetric matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ of order n, define the function $F_A(G)$ as

$$F_A(G) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j},$$

where $d_G(i,j)$ denotes the distance between the vertices i and j in G.

In this note it is shown that $F_A(G) \le F_A(P)$ for some path of order n. Moreover, if each row of A has at most one zero off-diagonal entry, then $F_A(G) < F_A(P)$ for some path of order n, unless G itself is a path.

In particular, this result implies two conjectures of Aouchiche and Hansen:

- the spectral radius of the distance Laplacian of a connected graph G of order n is maximal if and only if G is a path;

- the spectral radius of the distance signless Laplacian of a connected graph G of order n is maximal if and only if G is a path.

AMS classification: *15A42; 05C50.*

Keywords: *distance matrix; distance Laplacian; distance signless Laplacian; largest eigenvalue; path.*

1 Introduction and main results

The aim of the present note is to give a general approach to problems like the following conjectures of Aouchiche and Hansen [1, 2]:

Conjecture 1 *The largest eigenvalue of the distance Laplacian of a connected graph G of order n is maximal if and only if G is a path.*

*PEP-COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil; *email: celso.junior@ifrj.edu.br*

†Research partially supported by CNPq-Brasil

‡Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152, USA; *email: vnikifrv@memphis.edu*

Conjecture 2 *The largest eigenvalue of the distance signless Laplacian of a connected graph G of order n is maximal if and only if G is a path.*

First, let us introduce some notation and recall a few definitions. We write $\lambda(A)$ for the largest eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix A. Given a connected graph G, let $D(G)$ be the distance matrix of G, and let $T(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of the rowsums of $D(G)$. The matrix $D^L(G) = T(G) - D(G)$ is called the *distance Laplacian* of G, and the matrix $D^Q(G) = T(G) + D(G)$ is called the *distance signless Laplacian* of G. The matrices $D^L(G)$ and $D^Q(G)$ have been introduced by Aouchiche and Hansen and have been intensively studied recently, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 12].

Very recently, Lin and Lu [5] succeeded to prove Conjecture 2, but Conjecture 1 seems a bit more difficult and still holds. Furthermore, Conjectures 1 and 2 suggest a similar problem for the distance matrix itself. As it turns out such problem has been partially solved a while ago by Ruzieh and Powers [9], who showed that the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of a connected graph G of order n is maximal if G is a path. The complete solution, however, was given more recently by Stevanović and Ilić [10].

Theorem 3 ([9],[10]) *The largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of a connected graph G of order n is maximal if and only if G is a path.*

These result are believed to belong to spectral graph theory, and their proofs involve non-negligible amount of calculations. Our goal is to show that all these results stem from a much more general assertion that has nothing to do with eigenvalues. To this end, we shall introduce a fairly general graph function and shall study its maxima.

1.1 The function $F_A(G)$ and its maxima

Let G be a connected graph of order n. Write $d_G(i,j)$ for the distance between the vertices i and j in G, and let $A = [a_{i,j}]$ be a nonnegative symmetric matrix of order n. Define the function $F_A(G)$ as

$$F_A(G) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j}.$$

Clearly $d_G(i,i) = 0$ for any $i \in V(G)$, so the diagonal of A is irrelevant for $F_A(G)$.

In fact, the function $F_A(G)$ is quite mainstream, as it can be represented as

$$F_A(G) = \|A \circ D(G)\|_{l_1},$$

where $\circ$ denotes the entrywise Hadamard product of matrices, and $\|\cdot\|_{l_1}$ is the l_1 norm. This viewpoint suggests a number of extensions, which we shall investigate elsewhere.

Next, we focus on the extremal points of $F_A(G)$, that is to say, we want to know which connected graphs G of order n satisfy the condition

$$F_A(G) = \max\{F_A(H) : \ H \text{ is a connected graph of order } n\}.$$

In particular, we prove the somewhat surprising fact that for any admissible matrix A, the function $F_A(G)$ is always maximized by a path. More precisely the following theorem holds.

Theorem 4 *Let G be a connected graph of order n and let $A = [a_{i,j}]$ be a symmetric matrix of order n. If A is nonnegative, then there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that*

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \le \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_P(i,j)\, a_{i,j}. \tag{1}$$

It is not hard to find nonnegative symmetric matrices A for which $F_A(G)$ is maximized also by graphs other than paths. Thus, it is natural to attempt to characterize all symmetric, nonnegative matrices A, for which $F_A(G)$ is maximal only if G is a path. The complete solution of this problem seems difficult, so we shall give only a partial solution, sufficient for our goals.

Theorem 5 *Let G be a connected graph of order n and let $A = [a_{i,j}]$ be a symmetric nonnegative matrix of order n. If each row of A has at most one zero off-diagonal entry, and G is not a path, then there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that*

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j} < \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_P(i,j)\, a_{i,j}. \tag{2}$$

As yet we know of no application that exploits the full strength of Theorem 5. Indeed, to prove Conjectures 1 and 2, and Theorem 3, we shall use only the following simple corollary.

Corollary 6 *Let G be a connected graph of order n and let $A = [a_{i,j}]$ be a symmetric matrix of order n. If each off-diagonal entry of A is positive, and G is not a path, then there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that $F_A(P) > F_A(G)$.*

1.2 Proofs of Conjectures 1 and 2, and Theorem 3

We proceed with the proof of Conjecture 2. Let G be a connected graph of order n for which $\lambda\left(D^Q(G)\right)$ is maximal within all connected graphs of order n. We shall prove that G is a path. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a unit eigenvector to $\lambda\left(D^Q(G)\right)$. Since $D^Q(G)$ is irreducible, the vector $\mathbf{x}$ is positive. Define an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ by letting $a_{i,j} = (x_i + x_j)^2$. Clearly A is symmetric and nonnegative. As is well-known,

$$\lambda\left(D^Q(G)\right) = \left\langle D^Q(G)\,\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} d_G(i,j)\,(x_i + x_j)^2 = F_A(G).$$

Since each off-diagonal entry of A is positive, Corollary 6 implies that either $G = P_n$ or there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that $F_A(P) > F_A(G)$. The latter cannot hold as we would have

$$\lambda\left(D^Q(G)\right) = F_A(G) < F_A(P) \le \lambda\left(D^Q(P)\right),$$

contrary to the choice of G. Hence $G = P_n$, completing the proof of Conjecture 2.

Theorem 3 can be proved in the same way, with $A = [a_{i,j}]$ defined by $a_{i,j} = x_i x_j$. However, Conjecture 1 requires a slightly more careful approach.

Let G be a connected graph of order n such that $\lambda\left(D^L(G)\right)$ is maximal among all connected n vertex graphs. We shall prove that G must be a path. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a unit

eigenvector to $\lambda\left(D^L(G)\right)$ and define an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ by letting $a_{i,j} = (x_i - x_j)^2$. Clearly A is symmetric and nonnegative. Also, it is well-known that

$$\lambda\left(D^L(G)\right) = \left\langle D^L(G)\,\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle = \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_G(i,j)\,(x_i - x_j)^2 = F_A(G).$$

However, at this stage we cannot rule out that A has numerous zero entries, and so Corollary 6 does not apply as before. Yet Theorem 4 implies that there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that $F_A(P) \ge F_A(G)$; hence,

$$\lambda\left(D^L(G)\right) = F_A(G) \le F_A(P) \le \lambda\left(D^L(P)\right).$$

Due to the choice of G, equalities should hold throughout the above line, implying that $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector to P_n. But in Theorems. 4.4 and 4.6 of [7] Nath and Paul have established that all entries of an eigenvector to $\lambda\left(D^L(P)\right)$ are different and so the off-diagonal entries of A are positive. Now we apply Corollary 6 and finish the proof as for Conjecture 2.

2 Proofs of the main theorems

For graph notation undefined here we refer the reader to [4]. For general properties of the distance Laplacian and the distance signless Laplacian the reader is referred to [1, 2, 3].

Here is some notation that will be used later in the proofs:
- P_n and C_n stand for the path and cycle of order n;
- $G - u$ denotes the graph obtained from G by removing the vertex u;
- $G - \{u, v\}$ denotes the graph obtained from G by removing the vertices u and v.

We shall assume that any graph of order n is defined on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

The proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 have the same general structure, but the latter requires a lot of extra details so it will be presented separately.

Proof of Theorem 4 Note first that if H is a spanning tree of G, then $d_G(i,j) \le d_H(i,j)$ for every $i, j \in V(G)$; hence

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_G(i,j)\,a_{i,j} \le \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_H(i,j)\,a_{i,j}.$$

Therefore, we may and shall assume that G is a tree itself. We carry out the proof by induction on n. If $n \le 3$, every tree of order n is a path, so there is nothing to prove in this case. Assume now that $n > 3$ and the assertion holds for any n' such that $n' < n$. Choose a vertex $u \in V(G)$ of degree 1. By symmetry, we assume that $u = n$, and let k be the single neighbor of u; hence $G - n$ is a tree of order $n - 1$.

Define a symmetric matrix $A' = \left[a'_{ij}\right]$ of order $n - 1$ as follows:

$$a'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \ne k \text{ and } j \ne k; \\ a_{k,j} + a_{n,j}, & \text{if } i = k; \\ a_{i,k} + a_{i,n}, & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

Clearly A' is a symmetric nonnegative matrix. By the induction assumption there is a path P' with $V(P') = V(G-n) = [n-1]$ such that

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{G-n}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j} \le \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j}. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, for each $j \in V(G-n)$, the shortest path between n and j contains k, so

$$d_G(j,n) = d_{G-n}(j,k) + 1.$$

Hence we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_G(j,n)\, a_{j,n} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{G-n}(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(d_{G-n}(k,j)+1\right) a_{j,n} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{G-n}(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{n,j} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{G-n}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, (3) implies that

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j,n} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j}. \tag{4}$$

Further, write T for the tree obtained form the path P' by joining n to the vertex $k \in V(P')$. As before, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_T(i,j)\, a_{i,j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_T(j,n)\, a_{j,n} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{T-n}(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(d_{P'}(j,k)+1\right) a_{j,n} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j,n} + \sum_{1\le i<j<n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4) implies that

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_G(i,j)\, a_{i,j} \le \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} d_T(i,j)\, a_{i,j}.$$

If $T = P_n$, there is nothing to prove, so suppose that $T \neq P_n$. To complete the proof we shall show that we can join n to one of the ends of P' so that $F_A(T)$ will not decrease.

By symmetry, assume that the vertex sequence of the path P' is precisely $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$; thus the neighbor k of n satisfies $1 < k < n-1$. Write A_0 for the principal submatrix of A in the first $n-1$ rows and note that

$$F_A(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-i+1)\, a_{i,n} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} (i-k+1)\, a_{i,n} + F_{A_0}(P').$$

Next, delete the edge $\{n,k\}$ in T, add the edge $\{n,1\}$, and write T_1 for the resulting path. If $F_A(T_1) > F_A(T)$, the proof is completed, so let us assume that $F_A(T_1) \leq F_A(T)$. Since

$$F_A(T_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i a_{i,n} + F_{A_0}(P'),$$

we see that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-i+1)\, a_{i,n} + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (i-k+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i a_{i,n}$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-2i+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq (k-1)\,(a_{k,n} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n}).$$

Hence,

$$(k-1)\,(a_{1,n} + \cdots + a_{k-1,n}) \geq (k-1)\,(a_{k,n} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n}). \tag{5}$$

Now, delete the edge $\{n,k\}$ in T, add the edge $\{n,n-1\}$, and write T_2 for the resulting path. If $F_A(T_2) > F_A(T)$, the proof is completed, so let us assume that $F_A(T_2) \leq F_A(T)$. Since

$$F_A(T_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)\, a_{i,n} + F_{A_0}(P'),$$

we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-i+1)\, a_{i,n} + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (i-k+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)\, a_{i,n},$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (2i-k-n+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq (n-k-1)\,(a_{1,n} + \cdots + a_{k-1,n}).$$

Hence,

$$(n-k-1)\,(a_{k,n} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n}) \geq (n-k-1)\,(a_{1,n} + \cdots + a_{k-1,n}).$$

This inequality, together with (5), implies that

$$a_{k,n} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n} = a_{1,n} + \cdots + a_{k-1,n},$$

and that $F_A(T_1) = F_A(T)$ and $F_A(T_2) = F_A(T)$. This completes the induction step and the proof of Theorem 4. □

2.1 Proof of Theorem 5

Most of the proof of Theorem 5 deals with the case of G being a tree, so we extract this part in Theorem 7 below. The general case will be deduced later by different means.

For convenience write $N(n)$ for the class of all symmetric nonnegative matrix of order n such that each row of A has at most one zero off-diagonal entry.

Theorem 7 *Let G be a tree of order n. If $A \in N(n)$ and $G \neq P_n$, then there exists a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that $F_A(G) < F_A(P)$.*

Proof Our proof is by induction on n and is structured as the proof of Theorem 4. If $n \leq 3$, every tree of order n is a path, so there is nothing to prove in this case. For technical reason we would like to give a direct proof for $n = 4$ as well. There are two trees of order 4 - a path and a star. Assume that G is a star, and by symmetry suppose that 2 is its center. We have

$$F_A(G) = 2a_{4,1} + a_{4,2} + 2a_{4,3} + a_{1,2} + a_{2,3} + 2a_{1,3}.$$

Remove the edge $\{4,2\}$ and add the edge $\{4,1\}$, thus obtaining a path G_1. Assume for a contradiction that $F_A(G) \geq F_A(G_1)$, which implies that $a_{4,1} \geq a_{4,2} + a_{4,3}$. Now, remove from G the edge $\{4,2\}$ and add the edge $\{4,3\}$, thus obtaining a path G_2. Assume for a contradiction that $F_A(G) \geq F_A(G_2)$, which implies that $a_{4,3} \geq a_{4,2} + a_{4,1}$. We conclude that $a_{4,2} = 0$. By symmetry, we also get $a_{1,2} = 0$ and $a_{3,2} = 0$; hence A has a zero row, contradicting the hypothesis. Thus, G is a path.

Assume now that $n \geq 5$ and the assertion of Theorem holds for any n' such that $n' < n$. Let G be tree for which $F_A(G)$ attains a maximum. We shall prove that $G = P_n$. Choose a vertex $u \in V(G)$ of degree 1. By symmetry, we assume that $u = n$, and let k be the single neighbor of u; hence $G - n$ is a tree of order $n - 1$.

Define a symmetric matrix $A' = \left[a'_{ij}\right]$ of order $n-1$ as follows

$$a'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \neq k \text{ and } j \neq k; \\ a_{k,j} + a_{n,j}, & \text{if } i = k; \\ a_{i,k} + a_{i,n}, & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $A' \in N(n-1)$. Suppose that $G - n \neq P_{n-1}$. By the induction assumption, there is a path P' with $V(P') = V(G-n) = [n-1]$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} d_{G-n}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j} < \sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j}.$$

Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 4, we find that

$$F_A(G) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j,n} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} d_{G-n}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j} < \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j,n} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j}.$$

Now, join n to k, and write T for the obtained tree. As before, we see that

$$F_A(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j,n} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} d_{P'}(i,j)\, a'_{i,j} > F_A(G).$$

This contradicts the assumption that $F_A(G)$ is maximal. Therefore $G - n = P_{n-1}$.

By symmetry, assume that the vertex sequence of the path $G-n$ is precisely $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. If $k = 1$ or $k = n-1$, we see that $G = P_n$, so let us assume that $1 < k < n-1$. To complete the proof we shall show that we can join n to 1 or to $n-1$ so that $F_A(G)$ will increase.

Write A_0 for the principal submatrix of A in the first $n-1$ rows and note that

$$F_A(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-i+1)\, a_{i,n} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} (i-k+1)\, a_{i,n} + F_{A_0}(G-n).$$

Next, delete the edge $\{n, k\}$ in G, add the edge $\{n, 1\}$, and write G_1 for the resulting path. Since $F_A(G)$ is maximal, we see that $F_A(G_1) \leq F_A(G)$. From

$$F_A(G_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i a_{i,n} + F_{G-n}(A_0)$$

it follows that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-i+1)\, a_{i,n} + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (i-k+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i a_{i,n},$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-2i+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq (k-1)\left(a_{k,n} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n}\right).$$

Hence, letting

$$S_1 = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (i-1)\, a_{i,n}$$

we see that

$$(k-1)\left(a_{1,n} + \cdots + a_{k-1,n}\right) + S_1 \geq (k-1)\left(a_{k,n} + \cdots + a_{n-1,n}\right) \tag{6}$$

Finally, delete the edge $\{n, k\}$ in G, add the edge $\{n, n-1\}$, and write G_2 for the resulting path. Since $F_A(G)$ is maximal, we see that $F_A(G_2) \leq F_A(G)$. From

$$F_A(G_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)\, a_{i,n} + F_{G-n}(A_0)$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-i+1)\, a_{i,n} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} (i-k+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)\, a_{i,n},$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (2i-k-n+1)\, a_{i,n} \geq (n-k-1)\left(a_{1,n} + \cdots + a_{k-1,n}\right).$$

Hence, letting

$$S_2 = -2\sum_{i=k}^{n-1}(n-i-1)\,a_{i,n}$$

$$(n-k-1)\,(a_{k,n}+\cdots+a_{n-1,n})+S_2 \geq (n-k-1)\,(a_{1,n}+\cdots+a_{k-1,n})\,.$$

Comparing this inequality with (6), in view of $S_1 \leq 0$ and $S_2 \leq 0$, we find that

$$a_{k,n}+\cdots+a_{n-1,n} = a_{1,n}+\cdots+a_{k-1,n} \quad \text{and} \quad S_1 = S_2 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$a_{2,n} = \cdots = a_{k-1,n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{k,n} = \cdots = a_{n-2,n} = 0.$$

Since $n-3 \geq 2$, among the off-diagonal entries of the n'th row of A, there are two that are zero, contrary to the hypothesis. Therefore, $G = P_n$, completing the induction step and the proof of Theorem 7. □

Armed with Theorem 7, we are able the complete the proof of Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5 First we shall prove Theorem 5 if G is a unicyclic graph, i.e., if G has exactly n edges. Thus, let G be a connected unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 3$. It is known that G contains a single cycle. If G is not the cycle C_n itself, then G contains a spanning tree H with maximum degree $\Delta(H) \geq 3$; thus $H \neq P_n$. Hence, Theorem 7 implies that there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that

$$F_A(G) = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} d_G(i,j)\,a_{i,j} \leq \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} d_H(i,j)\,a_{i,j} < \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} d_P(i,j)\,a_{i,j}.$$

If G is the cycle C_n itself, let i,j,k be three consecutive vertices along the cycle. The removal of the edge $\{i,j\}$ increases the distance between i and j, i.e.,

$$d_G(i,j) < d_{G-\{i,j\}}(i,j)$$

and on the other hand

$$F_A(G) \leq F_A(G-\{i,j\})\,.$$

If $F_A(G) < F_A(G-\{i,j\})$, the theorem is proved, otherwise $F_A(G) = F_A(G-\{i,j\})$ and so $a_{i,j} = 0$. By the same token we obtain $a_{j,k} = 0$; hence among the off-diagonal entries of the k'th row of A there are two that are zero, contrary to the hypothesis. So the theorem holds for unicyclic graphs.

Finally, note that any connected graph G that is not a tree contains a connected unicyclic spanning subgraph H or is unicyclic itself. Hence, if G is not a tree, then $F_A(G) \leq F_A(H)$ for some connected unicyclic H, and thus there is a path P with $V(P) = V(G)$ such that

$$F_A(G) \leq F_A(H) < F(P)\,.$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is completed. □

3 Concluding remarks

Results similar to Theorem 3 have been known for the adjacency matrix, the Laplacian, and the signless Laplacian of a connected graph G :

Theorem 8 ([6]) *The largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of a connected graph G of order n is minimal if and only if G is a path.*

Theorem 9 ([8]) *The largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian of a connected graph G of order n is minimal if and only if G is a path.*

Theorem 10 ([11]) *The largest eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian of a connected graph G of order n is minimal if and only if G is a path.*

In the light of the present note we would like to raise the following question:

Question. *Is there a result similar to Theorem* 5 *that implies Theorems* 8, 9, *and* 10.

References

[1] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen, A signless Laplacian for the distance matrix of a graph, *Les Cahiers du GERAD,* G–2011–78, 2011.

[2] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen, Some properties of the distance Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph, *Les Cahiers du GERAD,* G–2013–28, 2013.

[3] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen, Two Laplacians for the distance matrix of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), 21–33.

[4] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 184, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998).

[5] H. Lin and X.Lu, Bounds on the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius in terms of clique number, to appear in *Linear and Multilinear Algebra,* 2014.

[6] L. Lovász and J. Pelican, On the eigenvalues of trees, *Periodica Math. Hung.* **3** (1973), 175–182.

[7] M. Nath and S. Paul, On the distance Laplacian spectra of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **460** (2014), 97–110.

[8] M. Petrović, I. Gutman, The path is the tree with smallest greatest Laplacian eigenvalue, *Kragujevac J. Math.* **24** (2002), 67–70.

[9] S.N. Ruzieh and D.L. Powers, The distance spectrum of the path and the first distance eigenvector of connected graphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **28** (1990), 75–81.

[10] D. Stevanović and A. Ilić, Distance spectral radius of trees with fixed maximum degree, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra.* **20** (2010), 168–179.

[11] C. Yan, Properties of spectra of graphs and line graphs, *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B,* **17** (2002), 371-371.

[12] R. Xing, B. Zhou, and J. Li, On the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra,* **62** (2014), 1377-1387.